`hep-th/9909010`
CPHT-S733.0999

arXiv:hep-th/9909010v1 2 Sep 1999

On Non-tachyonic $Z_N \times Z_M$ Orientifolds of Type 0B String Theory

Kristin Förger

Centre de Physique Théorique
Ecole Polytechnique
91128 Palaiseau Cedex, FRANCE

Abstract

We study open descendants of four dimensional $Z_N \times Z_M$ orbifolds of the non-supersymmetric type 0B string theory. An exhaustive analysis shows, that using the crosscap constraint the only model for which one can project out the tachyon is the $Z_2 \times Z_2$ orbifold. For this case we explicitly construct the open string amplitudes. The gauge group corresponding to the various inequivalent Klein bottle projections turns out to be either symplectic or unitary.

9/99

1. Introduction

It is well known, that besides the five supersymmetric string theories in ten dimensions there are also non-supersymmetric theories [1], of which the type 0B theory has recently attracted much attention. In the AdS/CFT correspondence it has been considered as a gravitational background in order to study the dynamics of non-supersymmetric gauge theories in four dimensions [2]. On the other hand, it was argued that type 0B theory corresponds to a certain supersymmetry breaking orbifold of M-theory [3,4,5] and further duality conjectures in lower dimensions for non-supersymmetric theories have been discussed in [6].

Type 0B theory can be obtained either by changing the GSO-projection or by modding out type IIB theory by $(-1)^{F_s}$, where F_s is the total space-time fermion number. Its ten dimensional field content consists in a tachyon, a graviton, a dilaton and an antisymmetric tensor from the NS-NS sector as well as two scalars, two antisymmetric tensors and a four form from the R-R sector. Since the fields in the R-R sector are doubled compared to type IIB theory one expects to have twice the number of D-branes in type 0B theory.

Although the tachyon renders this theory unstable, it has been shown in [7], that using the crosscap constraint [8] non-tachyonic open descendants of type 0B theory can be constructed along the lines of [9,7,10]. The resulting ten dimensional theory contains a graviton, a dilaton, a scalar, an antisymmetric tensor and a self-dual four form in the unoriented closed string sector and a gauge vector in the adjoint of U(32) together with Majorana-Weyl fermions in the $496 \oplus \overline{496}$ representation in the open string sector. Recently this construction has been generalized to lower dimensional supersymmetry preserving Z_N orientifolds in [11,12] and in [6] for a 'non-supersymmetric' Z_2 orbifold. It turns out that the only Z_N orientifolds, for which one can remove the tachyon is the Z_2 in $d = 6$ and the Z_3 in $d = 4$. Typically, in non-tachyonic type 0B descendants one has to relax the dilaton tadpole, which can be cured by the Fischler-Susskind mechanism [13].

In this letter we study open descendants of four dimensional $Z_N \times Z_M$ orbifolds of type 0B theory.[1] An extensive analysis reveals that only for $N = M = 2$ the tachyon can be projected out, thereby stabilizing the theory. Therefore we focus on the explicit construction of open descendants for the $Z_2 \times Z_2$ orbifold. The structure of open string amplitudes are similar to those of type IIB theory [15,16], which contains 9 branes as well as three different sets of 5 branes with gauge group $\mathrm{USp}(16)^{\otimes 4}$. In Section 2 we discuss compactifications on four dimensional $Z_N \times Z_M$ orbifolds. We explicitly construct the torus partition function for the $Z_2 \times Z_2$ orbifold and derive the closed string spectrum. In Section 3 we study all possible inequivalent Klein bottle projections, one of which projects out the tachyon. We give the corresponding open string spectra for these cases.

---

[1] The construction of $Z_N \times Z_M$ orientifolds for type IIB theory has been done in [14].

2. The parent type 0B theory

We consider 'supersymmetric' $Z_N \times Z_M$ orbifolds in $d = 4$ of type 0B theory. The construction of the corresponding partition functions reveals the fact, that generically the untwisted sector contains a tachyon, which is directly related to the one in ten dimensions. In addition, there also appear tachyons in the twisted sector except for the case $N = M = 2$. For the same reasons as explained in [11,12], tachyons in the twisted sector cannot be removed by any Klein bottle projection, since for a geometric orbifold action these states do not appear in the Klein bottle amplitude. Therefore, in the following we restrict ourselves to the only possible $Z_2 \times Z_2$ orbifold, in which the crosscap constraint [8] projects out the tachyon.

The orbifold generators act on the three internal tori $T^2 \times T^2 \times T^2$ as $g = (1, -1, -1)$, $h = (-1, -1, 1)$ and $f = g \cdot h = (-1, 1, -1)$. The starting point of the construction is the type 0B torus partition function compactified down to four dimensions:

$$\mathcal{T}_{0B} = \Big[|O_8|^2 + |V_8|^2 + |S_8|^2 + |C_8|^2 \Big] \Lambda_1 \Lambda_2 \Lambda_3 \ , \tag{2.1}$$

where Λ_i with $i = 1, 2, 3$ denote the lattice sums of the internal tori. Decomposing the affine SO(8) characters O_8, V_8, S_8, C_8 into products of SO(2) characters O_2, V_2, S_2, C_2, the $Z_2 \times Z_2$ orbifold partition function splits into four parts, which are associated to the four contributions in (2.1). Their structure is similar to the one of the supersymmetric case studied in [15,16]. Thus we write

$$\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{\rm A} + \mathcal{T}_{\rm B} + \mathcal{T}_{\rm C} + \mathcal{T}_{\rm D} \tag{2.2}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{\rm A} = \frac{1}{4} \Big\{ & |A_{oo}|^2 \Lambda_1 \Lambda_2 \Lambda_2 + \Big(|A_{og}|^2 \Lambda_1 + |A_{oh}|^2 \Lambda_3 + |A_{of}|^2 \Lambda_2 \Big) \Big| \frac{\theta_3^2 \theta_4^2}{\eta^4} \Big|^2 \\ & + \Big[|A_{go}|^2 \Lambda_1 + |A_{ho}|^2 \Lambda_3 + |A_{fo}|^2 \Lambda_2 \Big] \Big| \frac{\theta_2^2 \theta_3^2}{\eta^4} \Big|^2 \\ & + \Big[|A_{gg}|^2 \Lambda_1 + |A_{hh}|^2 \Lambda_3 + |A_{ff}|^2 \Lambda_2 \Big] \Big| \frac{\theta_2^2 \theta_4^2}{\eta^4} \Big|^2 \\ & + \Big[|A_{gh}|^2 + |A_{gf}|^2 + |A_{hg}|^2 + |A_{hf}|^2 + |A_{fg}|^2 + |A_{fh}|^2 \Big] \Big| \frac{\theta_2^2 \theta_3^2 \theta_4^2}{\eta^6} \Big|^2 \Big\} \ , \end{aligned}$$

where we introduced 16 expressions A_{ij} with $i, j = o, g, h, f$:

$$A_{io} = \alpha_{io} + \alpha_{ig} + \alpha_{ih} + \alpha_{if} \ , \qquad A_{ig} = \alpha_{io} + \alpha_{ig} - \alpha_{ih} - \alpha_{if} \ ,$$
$$A_{ih} = \alpha_{io} - \alpha_{ig} + \alpha_{ih} - \alpha_{if} \ , \qquad A_{if} = \alpha_{io} - \alpha_{ig} - \alpha_{ih} + \alpha_{if} \ ,$$

and α_{ij} are combinations of products of SO(2) characters, which are $Z_2 \times Z_2$ eigenstates with eigenvalue ±1. We define similar quantities B_{ij}, C_{ij} and D_{ij}, depending on β_{ij}, γ_{ij} and δ_{ij}, respectively, which are listed in the Appendix. At the origin of the lattice we can rewrite (2.2) in terms of 64 generalized characters (see Appendix):

$$\mathcal{T}^{(0)} = \sum_{k=1}^{4} \sum_{i=o,g,h,f} \left(|\chi_{\alpha,ik}|^2 + |\chi_{\beta,ik}|^2 + |\chi_{\gamma,ik}|^2 + |\chi_{\delta,ik}|^2 \right) \ ,$$

from which one can easily read off the spectrum. Expansion of the characters of the untwisted sector yields:

$$\mathcal{T}^{(0)}_{\rm untw} \sim \text{tachyon} + |V_2|^2 + 3\ |2\ O_2|^2 + 8\ |S_2 + C_2|^2 + \text{massive} \ ,$$

and therefore the low lying states consist in one tachyon, one graviton, 30 scalars and 8 vectors.

The massless contributions of the g, h and f twisted sector are

$$\mathcal{T}^{(0)}_{\rm tw} \sim 16 \cdot 3 \left[4\ |O_2|^2 + 2\ |S_2|^2 + 2\ |C_2|^2 \right]$$

from which we can extract 192 scalars and 96 vectors. All together the closed string spectrum consists in one tachyon, one graviton, 222 scalars and 104 Abelian vectors.

3. Open descendants

In general the choice of signs in the Klein bottle is not unique [7]. One can choose three inequivalent sets of signs in the direct Klein bottle amplitude, which correspond to projections $\Omega_1 = \Omega$, $\Omega_2 = \Omega(-1)^{F_{sR}}$ and $\Omega_3 = \Omega(-1)^{F_R}$, where $F_{sR}(F_R)$ is the right-moving space-time (worldsheet) fermion number. The various cases can be expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K} = \frac{1}{8} \Big\{ & [\epsilon_A A_{oo} + \epsilon_B B_{oo} + \epsilon_C C_{oo} + \epsilon_D D_{oo}] \Big(P_1 P_2 P_3 + P_1 W_2 W_3 + W_1 P_2 W_3 + W_1 W_2 P_3 \Big) \\
& + 2 \cdot 16 \Big([\epsilon'_A A_{go} + \epsilon'_B B_{go} + \epsilon'_C C_{go} + \epsilon'_D D_{go}] (P_1 + W_1)(\phi_s + \phi_c)^2 \\
& + [\epsilon'_A A_{ho} + \epsilon'_B B_{ho} + \epsilon'_C C_{ho} + \epsilon'_D D_{ho}] (P_3 + W_3)(\phi_s + \phi_c)^2 \\
& + [\epsilon'_A A_{fo} + \epsilon'_B B_{fo} + \epsilon'_C C_{fo} + \epsilon'_D D_{fo}] (P_2 + W_2)(\phi_s + \phi_c)^2 \Big) \Big\}
\end{aligned}$$

where $P_i(W_i)$ stands for the lattice sum of the KK momenta (winding modes) and ϕ_s and ϕ_c are defined in the appendix. Moreover, we introduced signs $\epsilon_A, \epsilon_B, \epsilon_C, \epsilon_D = \pm 1$ in the untwisted sector and $\epsilon'_A, \epsilon'_B, \epsilon'_C, \epsilon'_D = \pm 1$ in the twisted sector. The natural choice of signs, which corresponds to the Ω_1 projection, is to associate plus signs to bosons $\epsilon_A, \epsilon'_A, \epsilon_B, \epsilon'_B = 1$ and minus signs to fermions $\epsilon_C, \epsilon'_C, \epsilon_D, \epsilon'_D = -1$. We will denote the corresponding Klein bottle by $\mathcal{K}_1$. The second choice Ω_2, corresponds to all plus signs $\epsilon_A, \epsilon_B, \epsilon_C, \epsilon_D = 1$ and $\epsilon'_A, \epsilon'_B, \epsilon'_C, \epsilon'_D = 1$. The most interesting choice Ω_3, has $\epsilon_A, \epsilon_C, \epsilon'_B, \epsilon'_D = -1$ and $\epsilon_B, \epsilon_D, \epsilon'_A, \epsilon'_C = 1$, which will project out the closed string tachyon. The different projections give rise to three different open string spectra.

The unoriented closed spectrum is obtained from $\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{K}$. In the first case the low-lying excitations contain the tachyon, a graviton and 18 scalars from the untwisted sector and each of the 16 fixed points in the g, h and f-twisted sector contributes with 4 additional scalars. The second Klein bottle projection leaves the tachyon, a graviton, 8 vectors and 18 scalars in the untwisted sector and 64 scalars and 32 vectors for each g, h and f twisted sector. Finally, the third Klein bottle projects out the closed string tachyon and the unoriented closed string spectrum comprises one graviton, 4 vectors and 18 scalars from the untwisted sector as well as 32 scalars and 16 vectors for each g, h and f-twisted sector.

A modular S transformation turns the direct-channel Klein bottle $\mathcal{K}$ into the transverse channel amplitude $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$. At the origin of the lattice sum, we find that the coefficients of the characters are perfect squares, which is required for consistency [8,9]. In the first case, we thus get

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_1^{(0)} = \frac{2^6}{8}\Big\{ & \chi_{\beta,o1}\Big(\sqrt{v_1 v_2 v_3} + \sqrt{\frac{v_1}{v_2 v_3}} + \sqrt{\frac{v_3}{v_1 v_2}} + \sqrt{\frac{v_2}{v_1 v_3}}\Big)^2 \\ & + \chi_{\beta,o2}\Big(\sqrt{v_1 v_2 v_3} + \sqrt{\frac{v_1}{v_2 v_3}} - \sqrt{\frac{v_3}{v_1 v_2}} - \sqrt{\frac{v_2}{v_1 v_3}}\Big)^2 \\ & + \chi_{\beta,o3}\Big(\sqrt{v_1 v_2 v_3} - \sqrt{\frac{v_1}{v_2 v_3}} + \sqrt{\frac{v_3}{v_1 v_2}} - \sqrt{\frac{v_2}{v_1 v_3}}\Big)^2 \\ & + \chi_{\beta,o4}\Big(\sqrt{v_1 v_2 v_3} - \sqrt{\frac{v_1}{v_2 v_3}} - \sqrt{\frac{v_3}{v_1 v_2}} + \sqrt{\frac{v_2}{v_1 v_3}}\Big)^2\Big\} ,\end{aligned}$$

where v_i are the volume factors of the three tori. The amplitudes for $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_2$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_3$ are readily obtained from $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_1$ by replacing the characters $\chi_{\beta,ok}$ by $\chi_{\alpha,ok}$ and $-\chi_{\gamma,ok}$, respectively.

The open unoriented sector is constructed from the annulus and Möbius amplitudes $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{M}$. For simplicity, we only write the massless contributions to the amplitudes. The transverse annulus for all three cases can be summarized as:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{(0)} = \epsilon_A \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_A^{(0)} + \epsilon_B \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_B^{(0)} + \epsilon_C \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_C^{(0)} + \epsilon_D \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_D^{(0)} ,$$

with the signs $\epsilon_A, \epsilon_B, \epsilon_C$ and ϵ_D as defined above, according to the three models. Each term can be arranged into four characters which are multiplied by independent squared reflection coefficients, e.g. for $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_A^{(0)}$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_A^{(0)} = \frac{2^{-6}}{8}\Big\{&\chi_{\alpha,o1}\Big(N_A\sqrt{v_1v_2v_3} + D_{A1}\sqrt{\frac{v_1}{v_2v_3}} + D_{A3}\sqrt{\frac{v_3}{v_1v_2}} + D_{A2}\sqrt{\frac{v_2}{v_1v_3}}\Big)^2 \\ &+ \chi_{\alpha,o2}\Big(N_A\sqrt{v_1v_2v_3} + D_{A1}\sqrt{\frac{v_1}{v_2v_3}} - D_{A3}\sqrt{\frac{v_3}{v_1v_2}} - D_{A2}\sqrt{\frac{v_2}{v_1v_3}}\Big)^2 \\ &+ \chi_{\alpha,o3}\Big(N_A\sqrt{v_1v_2v_3} - D_{A1}\sqrt{\frac{v_1}{v_2v_3}} + D_{A3}\sqrt{\frac{v_3}{v_1v_2}} - D_{A2}\sqrt{\frac{v_2}{v_1v_3}}\Big)^2 \\ &+ \chi_{\alpha,o4}\Big(N_A\sqrt{v_1v_2v_3} - D_{A1}\sqrt{\frac{v_1}{v_2v_3}} - D_{A3}\sqrt{\frac{v_3}{v_1v_2}} + D_{A2}\sqrt{\frac{v_2}{v_1v_3}}\Big)^2\Big\} \ ,\end{aligned}$$

and for $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_B^{(0)}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_C^{(0)}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_D^{(0)}$ the characters have to be replaced by $\chi_{\beta,ok}, \chi_{\gamma,ok}$ and $\chi_{\delta,ok}$ and the sum of Chan-Paton charges $\{N_A, D_{Ar}\}$ $(r = 1,2,3)$ by $\{N_B, D_{Br}\}$, $\{N_C, D_{Cr}\}$ and $\{N_D, D_{Dr}\}$, respectively. Notice, that in the present case only characters of the untwisted sector are involved in the transverse annulus. This is due to the fact [8,9], that only those characters are allowed to appear in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, which fuse into the identity together with their anti-holomorphic partners in the closed string GSO.

For the first model the transverse Möbius amplitude at the origin of the lattice reads

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_1^{(0)} = -\frac{1}{4}\Big\{&\hat{B}_{oo}\Big[N_B\ v_1v_2v_3 + D_{B1}\ \frac{v_1}{v_2v_3} + D_{B3}\ \frac{v_3}{v_2v_1} + D_{B2}\ \frac{v_2}{v_1v_3}\Big](\hat{\phi}_o + \hat{\phi}_v)^3 \\ &+ \hat{B}_{og}\Big[(N_B + D_{B,1})\ v_1 + (D_{B2} + D_{B3})\ \frac{1}{v_1}\Big](\hat{\phi}_o + \hat{\phi}_v)(\hat{\phi}_o - \hat{\phi}_v)^2 \\ &+ \hat{B}_{oh}\Big[(N_B + D_{B3})\ v_3 + (D_{B1} + D_{B2})\ \frac{1}{v_3}\Big](\hat{\phi}_o + \hat{\phi}_v)(\hat{\phi}_o - \hat{\phi}_v)^2 \\ &+ \hat{B}_{of}\Big[(N_B + D_{B2})\ v_2 + (D_{B1} + D_{B3})\ \frac{1}{v_2}\Big](\hat{\phi}_o + \hat{\phi}_v)(\hat{\phi}_o - \hat{\phi}_v)^2\Big\} \ ,\end{aligned}$$

and for $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_2^{(0)}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_3^{(0)}$ one has to replace $\hat{B}_{ij}$ by $\hat{A}_{ij}$ and $\hat{C}_{ij}$ and the sum of Chan-Paton charges $\{N_B, D_{Br}\}$ by $\{N_A, D_{Ar}\}$ and $\{N_C, D_{Cr}\}$, respectively. All quantities have to be written in terms of real 'hatted' characters [7,9] and ϕ_o and ϕ_v are defined in the Appendix.

A modular $P = T^{\frac{1}{2}} S\ T^2 S\ T^{\frac{1}{2}}$ transformation turns the transverse channel Möbius amplitude into the direct channel amplitude, resulting in

$$\mathcal{M}_1^{(0)} = \frac{1}{4}\Big(\hat{\chi}_{\beta,o1} - \hat{\chi}_{\beta,o2} - \hat{\chi}_{\beta,o3} - \hat{\chi}_{\beta,o4}\Big)\Big[N_B + D_{B1} + D_{B2} + D_{B3}\big] \ , \tag{3.1}$$

and similarly for $\mathcal{M}_2^{(0)}$ with $(-\hat{\chi}_{\alpha,oi})$ and $\{N_A, D_{Ar}\}$ and $\mathcal{M}_3^{(0)}$ with $\hat{\chi}_{\gamma,oi}$ and $\{N_C, D_{Cr}\}$.

The tadpole conditions can be extracted from $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(0)}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{(0)}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{(0)}$ by setting to zero the reflection coefficients of the massless characters. One finds that

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Model 1} : N_B = D_{B1} = D_{B2} = D_{B3} = 64 \ , \\ &\text{Model 3} : N_C = D_{C1} = D_{C2} = D_{C3} = 64 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

For the second model there is no corresponding expression, since the characters which appear in all three transverse amplitudes are either tachyonic or massive. In addition to the tadpole conditions (3.2) one has to solve homogeneous tadpole conditions, which arise from additional massless characters in the transverse annulus. They will be given later on during the discussion of each model.

The above tadpole condition fix the rank of the gauge group and therefore the gauge group of the second model has no definite rank. Switching on a non-trivial quantized NS-NS antisymmetric tensor of rank r for the internal lattice reduces the rank of the gauge group by a factor of $2^{r/2}$, as it was shown in [17] for toroidal compactification and in [18] for orbifold compactification. In the present case, three different choices are allowed: $r = 2, 4, 6$, which will modify the tadpole condition resulting in $32, 16, 8$ on the right hand side of (3.2).

In order for the direct channel Möbius amplitude to correctly (anti-)symmetrize the direct channel annulus, the coefficients N_A, N_B, N_C, N_D and $D_{Ar}, D_{Br}, D_{Cr}, D_{Dr}$ have to be parameterized in terms of Chan-Paton charges:

$$\begin{aligned} N_A &= N_o + N_v - N_s - N_c \quad , \quad D_{Ar} = D_{or} + D_{vr} - D_{sr} - D_{cr} \\ N_B &= N_o + N_v + N_s + N_c \quad , \quad D_{Br} = D_{or} + D_{vr} + D_{sr} + D_{cr} \\ N_C &= N_o - N_v - N_s + N_c \quad , \quad D_{Cr} = D_{or} - D_{vr} - D_{sr} + D_{cr} \\ N_D &= N_o - N_v + N_s - N_c \quad , \quad D_{Dr} = D_{or} - D_{vr} + D_{sr} - D_{cr} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Inspection of the direct channel Möbius amplitude (3.1) requires the gauge group to be symplectic for the first model and unitary for the second and third model. In the following, let us discuss each model separately.

Model 1: In this case the remaining tadpole conditions result in

$$N_o = N_v \ , \quad N_s = N_c \ , \quad D_{or} = D_{vr} \ , \quad D_{sr} = D_{cr}$$

for $r = 1, 2, 3$. As in the supersymmetric case, one has to rescale the Chan-Paton charges such that $N_i = 2\ n_i$ and $D_{ir} = 2\ d_{ir}$ $(i = o, v, s, c)$, in order to have a consistent particle interpretation of $\mathcal{A}^{(0)} + \mathcal{M}^{(0)}$. The direct channel annulus amplitude then reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_1^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{4}\chi_{\beta,ok} & \sum_{i=o,v,s,c}(n_i^2 + \sum_{r=1}^{3} d_{ir}^2) \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^{4}\chi_{\alpha,ok}\Big[n_o n_v + n_s n_c + \sum_{r=1}^{3}(d_{or}d_{vr} + d_{sr}d_{cr})\Big] \\
& - \sum_{k=1}^{4}\chi_{\gamma,ok}\Big[n_o n_c + n_v n_s + \sum_{r=1}^{3}(d_{or}d_{cr} + d_{vr}d_{sr})\Big] \\
& - \sum_{k=1}^{4}\chi_{\delta,ok}\Big[n_o n_s + n_v n_c + \sum_{r=1}^{3}(d_{or}d_{sr} + d_{vr}d_{cr})\Big] \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^{4}\chi_{\alpha,gk}\sum_{i\in\{o,v,s,c\}}(n_i d_{i1} + d_{i2}d_{i3}) + \sum_{k=1}^{4}\chi_{\beta,gk}\sum_{\substack{i,j\in\{o,v\},\{s,c\}\\ i\neq j}}(n_i d_{j1} + d_{i2}d_{j3}) \\
& - \sum_{k=1}^{4}\chi_{\gamma,gk}\sum_{\substack{i,j\in\{o,s\},\{v,c\}\\ i\neq j}}(n_i d_{j1} + d_{i2}d_{j3}) - \sum_{k=1}^{4}\chi_{\delta,gk}\sum_{\substack{i,j\in\{o,c\},\{v,s\}\\ i\neq j}}(n_i d_{j1} + d_{i2}d_{j3}) \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^{4}\chi_{\alpha,hk}\sum_{i\in\{o,v,s,c\}}(n_i d_{i3} + d_{i1}d_{i2}) + \sum_{k=1}^{4}\chi_{\beta,hk}\sum_{\substack{i,j\in\{o,v\},\{s,c\}\\ i\neq j}}(n_i d_{j3} + d_{i1}d_{j2}) \\
& - \sum_{k=1}^{4}\chi_{\gamma,hk}\sum_{\substack{i,j\in\{o,s\},\{v,c\}\\ i\neq j}}(n_i d_{j3} + d_{i1}d_{j2}) - \sum_{k=1}^{4}\chi_{\delta,hk}\sum_{\substack{i,j\in\{o,c\},\{v,s\}\\ i\neq j}}(n_i d_{j3} + d_{i1}d_{j2}) \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^{4}\chi_{\alpha,fk}\sum_{i\in\{o,v,s,c\}}(n_i d_{i2} + d_{i1}d_{i3}) + \sum_{k=1}^{4}\chi_{\beta,fk}\sum_{\substack{i,j\in\{o,v\},\{s,c\}\\ i\neq j}}(n_i d_{j2} + d_{i1}d_{j3}) \\
& - \sum_{k=1}^{4}\chi_{\gamma,fk}\sum_{\substack{i,j\in\{o,s\},\{v,c\}\\ i\neq j}}(n_i d_{j2} + d_{i1}d_{j3}) - \sum_{k=1}^{4}\chi_{\delta,fk}\sum_{\substack{i,j\in\{o,c\},\{v,s\}\\ i\neq j}}(n_i d_{j2} + d_{i1}d_{j3})\ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.4}
$$

where e.g. the notation $\sum_{i\neq j} d_{i1}d_{j2}$ for $i, j \in \{o, v\}$ stands for $d_{o1}d_{v2} + d_{v1}d_{o2}$. The above annulus amplitude together with (3.1) leads to a symplectic gauge group:

$$
\Big[\mathrm{USp}(16 - n_s) \otimes \mathrm{USp}(n_s)\Big]^{\otimes 2}\Big|_9 \otimes \prod_{r=1}^{3}\Big[\mathrm{USp}(16 - d_s) \otimes \mathrm{USp}(d_s)\Big]^{\otimes 2}\Big|_{5_r}\ .
$$

If we choose $n_s = d_s = 0$ the resulting theory turns out to be purely bosonic with gauge group $\mathrm{USp}(16)^{\otimes 8}$ for $D9, D9'$ branes as well as for $D5_r, D5'_r$ $(r = 1, 2, 3)$ branes and the spectrum comprises a tachyon in bi-fundamental representations and massless scalars in the antisymmetric as well as combinations of bi-fundamental representations of the gauge group.

The choice $n_s = d_s = 8$ is particularly interesting, since we get a vector in the adjoint of $\mathrm{USp}(8)^{\otimes 16}$ and the following matter content:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{tachyon} \ &: \bigoplus_{i=1}^{8} (8_{2i-1}, 8_{2i}) \\
6\phi \ &: \bigoplus_{i=1}^{16} \ 28_i \\
4(\lambda_\alpha + \lambda_{\dot\alpha}) \ &: \bigoplus_{i=1}^{3} (8_{4i+1} \oplus 8_{4i+2} \ , \ 8_{4i+3} \oplus 8_{4i+4})
\end{aligned}$$

in the untwisted sector, and

$$\begin{aligned}
2\phi \ &: \bigoplus_{k=1}^{3} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{8-2k} (8_{2i-1} \oplus 8_{2i} \ , \ 8_{2i+4k-1} \oplus 8_{2i+4k}) \\
(\lambda_\alpha + \lambda_{\dot\alpha}) \ &: \bigoplus_{k=1}^{3} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{7-2k} (8_{2i-1} \oplus 8_{2i} \ , \ 8_{2i+4k+1} \oplus 8_{2i+4k+2}) \\
&\oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{3} (8_{4i-1} \oplus 8_{4i} \ , \ 8_{4i+1} \oplus 8_{4i+2})
\end{aligned}$$

in the twisted sector. Here the index of the fundamental or antisymmetric representations stands for the i-th factor of the gauge group.

Model 2: The direct annulus for the second model is obtained from (3.4) after the replacement: $\chi_{\alpha,ik} \leftrightarrow \chi_{\beta,ik}$ and $\chi_{\gamma,ik} \leftrightarrow \chi_{\delta,ik}$. Since the direct channel Möbius amplitude only contains characters $\chi_{\alpha,ok}$, the gauge fields transform under a unitary gauge group. Therefore one has to parametrize the charges as:

$$\begin{aligned}
N_o &= 2\,n \quad , \ N_v = 2\,\bar{n} \quad , \ N_s = 2\,m \quad , \ N_c = 2\,\bar{m} \\
D_{or} &= 2\,d_r \quad , \ D_{vr} = 2\,\bar{d}_r \quad , \ D_{sr} = 2\,e_r \quad , \ D_{cr} = 2\,\bar{e}_r
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Since the size of the gauge group is not fixed by the tadpole conditions, one could set all charges to zero and would not have to introduce any branes. However, for generic charges, one finds that a vector together with six scalars transform in the adjoint of

$$\mathrm{U}(n)_9 \otimes \mathrm{U}(m)_{9'} \otimes \prod_{i=1}^{3} \left[\mathrm{U}(d_i)_{5_i} \otimes \mathrm{U}(e_i)_{5'_i} \right] ,$$

and the low lying states comprise

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{tachyon} \ &: \bigoplus_{i=1}^{8} (A_i \oplus \bar{A}_i) \\
4(\lambda_\alpha + \lambda_{\dot\alpha}) \ &: \bigoplus_{i=1}^{4} \Big[(F_{2i-1}, \bar{F}_{2i}) \oplus (F_{2i-1}, F_{2i}) \oplus \text{c.c.} \Big] \ ,
\end{aligned}$$

in the untwisted sector, and

$$\begin{aligned}
2\phi \ &: \bigoplus_{k=1}^{3} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{8-2k} [(F_i, F_{2k+i}) \oplus (F_i, \bar{F}_{2k+i}) \oplus \text{c.c.}] \\
(\lambda_\alpha + \lambda_{\dot\alpha}) \ &: \bigoplus_{k=1}^{3} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{7-2k} [(F_i, F_{2k+1+i}) \oplus (F_i, \bar{F}_{2k+1+i}) \oplus \text{c.c.}] \\
&\oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{3} [(F_{2i}, F_{2i+1}) \oplus (F_{2i}, \bar{F}_{2i+1}) \oplus \text{c.c.}]
\end{aligned}$$

in the twisted sector. Here, $A_i(F_i)$ denotes the antisymmetric (fundamental) representation of the i-th factor of the gauge group.

Model 3: This model is the most interesting one, because it is non-tachyonic. Similarly to the previous case, the form of the Möbius amplitude requires a unitary gauge group. The direct channel annulus amplitude can again be obtained from (3.4) by exchanging $\chi_{\alpha,ik} \leftrightarrow -\chi_{\delta,ik}$ and $\chi_{\beta,ik} \leftrightarrow -\chi_{\gamma,ik}$ and parameterizing the charges in (3.3) similarly to model 2:

$$\begin{gathered}
N_o = 2\ n \quad , \ N_v = 2\ m \quad , \ N_c = 2\ \bar{n} \quad , \ N_s = 2\ \bar{m} \\
D_{or} = 2\ d_r \quad , \ D_{vr} = 2\ e_r \quad , \ D_{cr} = 2\ \bar{d}_r \quad , \ D_{sr} = 2\ \bar{e}_r
\end{gathered}$$

As usual in type 0B theory, one has to relax the dilaton tadpole condition, which can be arranged by the Fischler-Susskind mechanism [13]. We can finally eliminate the open string tachyon by setting $m = \bar{m} = e_r = \bar{e}_r = 0$. The direct channel annulus amplitude then reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_3^{(0)} = {} & \sum_{k=1}^{4} \chi_{\beta,ok} \Big[n\bar{n} + \sum_{r=1}^{3} d_r \bar{d}_r \Big] - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{4} \chi_{\gamma,ok} \Big[n^2 + \bar{n}^2 + \sum_{r=1}^{3} (d_r^2 + \bar{d}_r^2) \Big] \\
& - \sum_{k=1}^{4} \chi_{\delta,gk} \Big[n d_1 + \bar{n} \bar{d}_1 + d_2 d_3 + \bar{d}_2 \bar{d}_3 \Big] + \sum_{k=1}^{4} \chi_{\alpha,gk} \Big[n \bar{d}_1 + \bar{n} d_1 + d_2 \bar{d}_3 + \bar{d}_2 d_3 \Big] \\
& - \sum_{k=1}^{4} \chi_{\delta,hk} \Big[n d_3 + \bar{n} \bar{d}_3 + d_1 d_2 + \bar{d}_1 \bar{d}_2 \Big] + \sum_{k=1}^{4} \chi_{\alpha,hk} \Big[n \bar{d}_3 + \bar{n} d_3 + d_1 \bar{d}_2 + \bar{d}_1 d_2 \Big] \\
& - \sum_{k=1}^{4} \chi_{\delta,fk} \Big[n d_2 + \bar{n} \bar{d}_2 + d_1 d_3 + \bar{d}_1 \bar{d}_3 \Big] + \sum_{k=1}^{4} \chi_{\alpha,fk} \Big[n \bar{d}_2 + \bar{n} d_2 + d_1 \bar{d}_3 + \bar{d}_1 d_3 \Big]
\end{aligned}$$

and together with the direct channel Möbius amplitude

$$\mathcal{M}_3^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\chi_{\gamma,o1} - \chi_{\gamma,o2} - \chi_{\gamma,o3} - \chi_{\gamma,o4}\Big)\Big[n + \bar{n} + \sum_{r=1}^{3}(d_r + \bar{d}_r)\Big]$$

we get a vector and six scalars in the adjoint of the gauge group:

$$\mathrm{U}(16)_9 \otimes \mathrm{U}(16)_{5_1} \otimes \mathrm{U}(16)_{5_2} \otimes \mathrm{U}(16)_{5_3} \ ,$$

and additional massless matter:

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_\alpha + \lambda_{\dot\alpha}) \ &: \ (120 \oplus \overline{120}, 1, 1, 1) \oplus (1, 120 \oplus \overline{120}, 1, 1) \oplus (1, 1, 120 \oplus \overline{120}, 1) \\ &\oplus (1, 1, 1, 120 \oplus \overline{120}) \\ 3(\lambda_\alpha + \lambda_{\dot\alpha}) \ &: \ (136 \oplus \overline{136}, 1, 1, 1) \oplus (1, 136 \oplus \overline{136}, 1, 1) \oplus (1, 1, 136 \oplus \overline{136}, 1) \\ &\oplus (1, 1, 1, 136 \oplus \overline{136}) \end{aligned}$$

in the untwisted sector, and

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_\alpha + \lambda_{\dot\alpha}) \ &: \ (16, 16, 1, 1) \oplus (1, 1, 16, 16) \oplus (16, 1, 16, 1) \oplus (1, 16, 1, 16) \oplus (16, 1, 1, 16) \\ &\oplus (1, 16, 16, 1) \oplus \text{c.c.} \\ 2\phi \ &: \ (16, \overline{16}, 1, 1) \oplus (1, 1, 16, \overline{16}) \oplus (16, 1, \overline{16}, 1) \oplus (1, 16, 1, \overline{16}) \oplus (16, 1, 1, \overline{16}) \\ &\oplus (1, 16, \overline{16}, 1) \oplus \text{c.c.} \end{aligned}$$

in the twisted sector.

In four dimensions one has to worry about gauge anomalies. However, the common feature of all three models is that their spectrum is non-chiral and therefore automatically free of gauge anomalies.

Since type 0B is non-supersymmetric from the very beginning, it would be interesting to generalize this construction to $Z_N \times Z_M$ orbifolds that do not preserve any supersymmetry.

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to C. Angelantonj for very useful discussions. This work was supported in part by EEC under the TMR contract ERBFMRX-CT96-0090.

Appendix A.

A.1. Combinations of SO(2) *characters*

In the untwisted sector the expressions α_{ij}, β_{ij}, γ_{ij} and δ_{ij} with $i, j = o, g, h, f$ are:

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{oo} &= O_2O_2O_2O_2 + V_2V_2V_2V_2 \ , \qquad & \alpha_{og} &= O_2O_2V_2V_2 + V_2V_2O_2O_2 \ , \\
\alpha_{oh} &= O_2V_2V_2O_2 + V_2O_2O_2V_2 \ , \qquad & \alpha_{of} &= O_2V_2O_2V_2 + V_2O_2V_2O_2 \ ,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_{oo} &= O_2V_2V_2V_2 + V_2O_2O_2O_2 \ , \qquad & \beta_{og} &= O_2V_2O_2O_2 + V_2O_2V_2V_2 \ , \\
\beta_{oh} &= O_2O_2O_2V_2 + V_2V_2V_2O_2 \ , \qquad & \beta_{of} &= O_2O_2V_2O_2 + V_2V_2O_2V_2 \ ,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{oo} &= S_2S_2S_2S_2 + C_2C_2C_2C_2 \ , \qquad & \gamma_{og} &= S_2S_2C_2C_2 + C_2C_2S_2S_2 \ , \\
\gamma_{oh} &= S_2C_2C_2S_2 + C_2S_2S_2C_2 \ , \qquad & \gamma_{of} &= S_2C_2S_2C_2 + C_2S_2C_2S_2 \ ,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{oo} &= S_2C_2C_2C_2 + C_2S_2S_2S_2 \ , \qquad & \delta_{og} &= S_2C_2S_2S_2 + C_2S_2C_2C_2 \ , \\
\delta_{oh} &= S_2S_2S_2C_2 + C_2C_2C_2S_2 \ , \qquad & \delta_{of} &= S_2S_2C_2S_2 + C_2C_2S_2C_2 \ .
\end{aligned}$$

Here the first factor refers to the two transverse space-time directions and the remaining three to internal coordinates. For the g-twisted sector, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{go} &= O_2O_2C_2C_2 + V_2V_2S_2S_2 \ , \qquad & \alpha_{gg} &= O_2V_2S_2C_2 + V_2O_2C_2S_2 \ , \\
\alpha_{gh} &= O_2V_2S_2C_2 + V_2O_2C_2S_2 \ , \qquad & \alpha_{gf} &= O_2V_2C_2S_2 + V_2O_2S_2C_2 \ ,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_{go} &= O_2V_2S_2S_2 + V_2O_2C_2C_2 \ , \qquad & \beta_{gg} &= O_2O_2C_2S_2 + V_2V_2S_2C_2 \ , \\
\beta_{gh} &= O_2O_2C_2S_2 + V_2V_2S_2C_2 \ , \qquad & \beta_{gf} &= O_2O_2S_2C_2 + V_2V_2C_2S_2 \ ,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{go} &= S_2S_2O_2O_2 + C_2C_2V_2V_2 \ , \qquad & \gamma_{gg} &= S_2S_2V_2V_2 + C_2C_2O_2O_2 \ , \\
\gamma_{gh} &= S_2C_2V_2O_2 + C_2S_2O_2V_2 \ , \qquad & \gamma_{gf} &= S_2C_2O_2V_2 + C_2S_2O_2V_2 \ ,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{go} &= S_2C_2V_2V_2 + C_2S_2O_2O_2 \ , \qquad & \delta_{gg} &= S_2C_2O_2O_2 + C_2S_2V_2V_2 \ , \\
\delta_{gh} &= S_2S_2O_2V_2 + C_2C_2V_2O_2 \ , \qquad & \delta_{gf} &= S_2S_2V_2O_2 + C_2C_2O_2V_2 \ ,
\end{aligned}$$

and for the h-twisted sector:

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{ho} &= O_2S_2S_2O_2 + V_2C_2C_2V_2 \ , \qquad & \alpha_{hg} &= O_2S_2C_2V_2 + V_2C_2S_2O_2 \ , \\
\alpha_{hh} &= O_2C_2C_2O_2 + V_2S_2S_2V_2 \ , \qquad & \alpha_{hf} &= O_2C_2S_2V_2 + V_2S_2C_2O_2 \ ,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_{ho} &= O_2C_2C_2V_2 + V_2S_2S_2O_2 \ , \qquad & \beta_{hg} &= O_2C_2S_2O_2 + V_2S_2C_2V_2 \ , \\
\beta_{hh} &= O_2S_2S_2V_2 + V_2C_2C_2O_2 \ , \qquad & \beta_{hf} &= O_2S_2C_2O_2 + V_2C_2S_2V_2 \ ,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\gamma_{ho} = C_2O_2O_2C_2 + S_2V_2V_2S_2 \ , \qquad \gamma_{hg} = C_2O_2V_2S_2 + S_2V_2O_2C_2 \ ,$$
$$\gamma_{hh} = S_2O_2O_2S_2 + C_2V_2V_2C_2 \ , \qquad \gamma_{hf} = S_2O_2V_2C_2 + C_2V_2O_2S_2 \ ,$$

$$\delta_{ho} = S_2O_2O_2C_2 + C_2V_2V_2S_2 \ , \qquad \delta_{hg} = S_2O_2V_2S_2 + C_2V_2O_2V_2 \ ,$$
$$\delta_{hh} = C_2O_2O_2S_2 + S_2V_2V_2C_2 \ , \qquad \delta_{hf} = C_2O_2V_2C_2 + S_2V_2O_2S_2 \ ,$$

Finally for the f-twisted sector, we get

$$\alpha_{fo} = O_2C_2V_2S_2 + V_2S_2O_2C_2 \ , \qquad \alpha_{fg} = O_2C_2O_2C_2 + V_2S_2V_2S_2 \ ,$$
$$\alpha_{fh} = O_2S_2O_2S_2 + V_2C_2V_2C_2 \ , \qquad \alpha_{ff} = O_2S_2V_2C_2 + V_2C_2O_2S_2 \ ,$$

$$\beta_{fo} = O_2S_2O_2C_2 + V_2C_2V_2S_2 \ , \qquad \beta_{fg} = O_2S_2V_2S_2 + V_2C_2O_2C_2 \ ,$$
$$\beta_{fh} = O_2C_2V_2C_2 + V_2S_2O_2S_2 \ , \qquad \beta_{ff} = O_2C_2O_2S_2 + V_2S_2V_2C_2 \ ,$$

$$\gamma_{fo} = S_2O_2C_2V_2 + C_2V_2S_2O_2 \ , \qquad \gamma_{fg} = S_2O_2S_2O_2 + C_2V_2C_2V_2 \ ,$$
$$\gamma_{fh} = S_2V_2S_2V_2 + C_2O_2C_2O_2 \ , \qquad \gamma_{ff} = S_2V_2C_2O_2 + C_2O_2S_2V_2 \ ,$$

$$\delta_{fo} = S_2V_2S_2O_2 + C_2O_2C_2V_2 \ , \qquad \delta_{fg} = S_2V_2C_2V_2 + C_2O_2S_2O_2 \ ,$$
$$\delta_{fh} = S_2O_2C_2O_2 + C_2V_2S_2V_2 \ , \qquad \delta_{ff} = S_2O_2S_2V_2 + C_2V_2C_2O_2 \ .$$

A.2. Characters

The structure of the 64 characters $\chi_{\alpha,ik}, \chi_{\beta,ik}, \chi_{\gamma,ik}$ and $\chi_{\delta,ik}$ is:

$$\chi_{\rho,i1} = \rho_{io}A_i + \rho_{ig}B_i + \rho_{ih}C_i + \rho_{if}D_i \ , \quad \chi_{\rho,i2} = \rho_{io}B_i + \rho_{ig}A_i + \rho_{ih}D_i + \rho_{if}C_i \ ,$$
$$\chi_{\rho,i3} = \rho_{io}C_i + \rho_{ig}D_i + \rho_{ih}A_i + \rho_{if}B_i \ , \quad \chi_{\rho,i4} = \rho_{io}D_i + \rho_{ig}C_i + \rho_{ih}B_i + \rho_{if}A_i \ ,$$

where $\rho_{ij} = \alpha_{ij}, \beta_{ij}, \gamma_{ij}, \delta_{ij}$ with $i, j = o, g, h, f$ for the untwisted, the g-twisted, the h-twisted and the f-twisted sector, respectively. We defined the internal part for the untwisted sector

$$A_o = \phi_o\phi_o\phi_o + \phi_v\phi_v\phi_v \quad , \quad B_o = \phi_o\phi_v\phi_v + \phi_v\phi_o\phi_o \ ,$$
$$C_o = \phi_o\phi_o\phi_v + \phi_v\phi_v\phi_o \quad , \quad D_o = \phi_o\phi_v\phi_o + \phi_v\phi_o\phi_v \ ,$$

for the g-twisted sector

$$A_g = \phi_o\phi_s\phi_s + \phi_v\phi_c\phi_c \quad , \quad B_g = \phi_o\phi_c\phi_c + \phi_v\phi_s\phi_s \ ,$$
$$C_g = \phi_o\phi_s\phi_c + \phi_v\phi_c\phi_s \quad , \quad D_g = \phi_o\phi_c\phi_s + \phi_v\phi_s\phi_c \ ,$$

for the h-twisted sector

$$A_h = \phi_s\phi_s\phi_o + \phi_c\phi_c\phi_v \quad , \quad B_h = \phi_s\phi_c\phi_v + \phi_c\phi_s\phi_o \ ,$$
$$C_h = \phi_s\phi_s\phi_v + \phi_c\phi_c\phi_o \quad , \quad D_h = \phi_s\phi_c\phi_o + \phi_c\phi_s\phi_v \ ,$$

and for the f-twisted sector

$$A_f = \phi_s\phi_o\phi_s + \phi_c\phi_v\phi_c \quad , \quad B_f = \phi_s\phi_v\phi_c + \phi_c\phi_o\phi_s \ ,$$
$$C_f = \phi_s\phi_o\phi_c + \phi_c\phi_v\phi_s \quad , \quad D_f = \phi_s\phi_v\phi_s + \phi_c\phi_o\phi_c \ ,$$

and

$$\phi_o + \phi_v = \frac{1}{\eta^2} \ , \quad \phi_o - \phi_v = \frac{\theta_3\theta_4}{\eta^2} \ , \quad \phi_s + \phi_c = \frac{\theta_2\theta_3}{2\eta^2} \ , \quad \phi_s - \phi_c = \frac{\theta_2\theta_4}{2\eta^2} \ .$$

References

[1] L.J. Dixon and J.A. Harvey, Nucl. Phys. B274 (1986) 93;
N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B276 (1986) 272;
L. Alvarez-Gaumé. P. Ginsparg, G. Moore and C. Vafa, Phys. Lett. B171 (1986) 155.

[2] I.R. Klebanov and A.A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. B546 (1999) 155.

[3] J.D. Blum and K.R. Dienes, Phys. Lett. B414 (1997) 260; Nucl. Phys. B516 (1998) 83.

[4] I. Antoniadis, E. Dudas and A. Sagnotti, Nucl. Phys. B544 (1999) 469.

[5] O. Bergman and M. Gaberdiel, *Dualities of type 0 strings*, hep-th/9906055.

[6] R. Blumenhagen and A. Kumar, *A note on orientifolds and dualities of type 0B string theory*, hep-th/9906234.

[7] A. Sagnotti, *Some properties of open string theories*, hep-th/9509080;
A. Sagnotti, *Surprises in open string perturbation theory*, hep-th/9702093.

[8] D. Fioravanti, G. Pradisi and A. Sagnotti, Phys. Lett. B321 (1994) 349;
G. Pradisi, A. Sagnotti and Ya.S. Stanev, Phys. Lett. B345 (1995) 279; Phys. Lett. B 356 (1995) 230; Phys. Lett. B381 (1996) 97.

[9] M. Bianchi and A. Sagnotti, Phys. Lett. B247 (1990) 517.

[10] A. Sagnotti, in Cargèse 87, Non-Perturbative Quantum Field Theory, eds. G. Mack et al. (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1988), p. 521.

[11] C. Angelantonj, Phys. Lett. B444 (1998) 309;
C. Angelantonj, *Non-supersymmetric open string vacua*, hep-th/9907054.

[12] R. Blumenhagen, A. Font and D. Lüst, *Tachyon-free orientifolds of type 0B strings in various dimensions*, hep-th/9904069.

[13] W. Fischler and L. Susskind, Phys. Lett. B171 (1986) 383; Phys. Lett. B173 (1986) 262.

[14] G. Zwart, Nucl. Phys. B526 (1998) 378;
G. Aldazabal, A. Font, L.E. Ibáñez and G. Violero, Nucl. Phys. B536 (1998) 29.

[15] M. Bianchi, PhD thesis, preprint ROM2F-92/13;
A. Sagnotti, *Anomaly Cancellations and Open-String Theories*, hep-th/9302099;
M. Berkooz and R.G. Leigh, Nucl. Phys. B483 (1997).

[16] I. Antoniadis, G. D'Appollonio, E. Dudas and A. Sagnotti, *Open Descendants of* $Z_2 \times Z_2$ *Freely-Acting Orbifolds*, hep-th/9907184.

[17] M. Bianchi, G. Pradisi and A. Sagnotti, Nucl. Phys. B376 (1992) 365;
M. Bianchi, Nucl. Phys. B528 (1998) 73;
E. Witten, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (1998) 006.

[18] Z. Kakushadze, G. Shiu and S.-H. Henry Tye, Phys.Rev. D58 (1998) 086001;
C. Angelantonj, *Comments on open-string orbifolds with a non-vanishing* B_{ab}, hep-th/9908064.